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## Supplement 1

This supplement provides a description of the input parameters for the Bay of Quinte (1994-2000) Lake Ontario food web (Table 1). We started with the post-dreissenid model developed by Koops et al. (2006). Here we provide a synopsis of the initial parameter values derived by Koops et al. (2006) and our modifications.

## Original Model

Direct estimates of biomass, averaged across years and stations, were used for all groups. For Cormorants, Production: Biomass ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ ) and Consumption: Biomass $(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{B})$, ratios were estimated using values from Wesloh and Casselman (1992). Biomass estimates were summed based on functional groupings for Planktivores, Invertivores, Piscivores and Panfish. Biomass was split into life history stages for: 1) Walleye, 2) Yellow Perch, 3) White Perch, and 4) Panfish. Each multi-stanza group required additional estimates of growth rate ( $K$ from the von Bertalanffy growth equation) and the ratio of weight-at-maturity to asymptotic weight. Maximum length ( $L_{\infty}$ ) and $K$ were estimated for each multi-stanza group by fitting a von Bertalanffy growth equation and minimizing the sum-of-squares difference between observed length-at-age (using mean total length-at-age for ages with available data) and the expected length-at-age $\left(L_{a}\right)$ as estimated by the von Bertalanffy growth equation:

$$
L_{a}=L_{\infty}\left(1-e^{-K\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\right)
$$

For all von Bertalanffy fits, $t$ was equal to age and $t_{o}$ was assumed to be equal to zero. P/B for Walleye was estimated from an allometric equation described by Randall and Minns (2000):

$$
\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{w}}=\left(2.64 W_{\mathrm{mat}}^{-0.35}\right)
$$

where $W_{\text {mat }}$ is the average weight at maturity. $W_{\text {mat }}$ was estimated separately for both male and female Walleye where age of maturity was assumed to be age- 3 for males and age- 4 for females (Stewart et al. 1999; Bowlby and Hoyle 2002) and these values were averaged across station-years to provide an overall P/B value for Walleye. These steps were repeated for all the remaining fish species, except for Gizzard Shad which had its P/B estimate, derived from longevity ( $T_{\max }$ ) from an allometric equation described by Randall and Minns (2000):

$$
\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{z}}=\left(4.22 T_{\max }{ }^{-0.982}\right) .
$$

Similar steps were used for all the remaining fish species and age classes. Q/B ratios for Walleye and White Perch were derived from literature (Hurley 1986; Hurley and Minns 1986; and Hurley 1992). A simple modification of Ney's (1990) consumption equation:

$$
\frac{Q}{B}=2\left(\frac{P}{B}\right)+3
$$

where $\mathrm{P}=$ production, and $\mathrm{B}=$ biomass was used to estimate $\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{B}$ from estimates of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ for the remaining fish species. $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ ratios for benthic invertebrates were derived from literature: 1) Other Benthos (no insects) (Johannsson et al. 2000), 2) Insects (McCullogh et al 1979 for Caddisflies, Kruger and Waters 1983 for Dragonfiles, Dermott et al. 1977 for Chaoborus, Lindegaard 1994 for Leeches, Parkyn et al 2002 for Crayfish), 3) Oligochaetes (Johnson and Brinkhurst 1971), 4) Chironomids (Johnson and Brinkhurst 1971), 5) Amphiopods (Johannsson et al. 2000), 6) Isopods (Johannsson et al. 2000), 7) Gastropods (Tudorancea et al. 1979), 8) Bivalves (Hamill et al. 1979), 9) Dreissinids (Chase and Bailey 1999). Q/B ratios for benthic invertebrates were derived from literature: 1) Other Benthos (no insects) (Nilsson 1974), 2) Insects (McCullogh et al 1979 for Caddisflies and Dragonfiles, Dermott et al. 1977 for Chaoborus, Lindegaard 1994 for Leeches, Gutierrez and Yurrita 2001 for Crayfish), 3) Oligochaetes (Lindegaard 1994), 4) Chironomids (Lindegaard 1994), 5) Amphiopods (Nilsson 1974), 6) Isopods (Nilsson 1974), 7) Gastropods (Lindegaard 1994), 8) Bivalves (Lindegaard 1994), 9) Dreissinids (Hamburger 1990). The P/B and Q/P ratios for Cercopagis were estimated from Laxson et al. (2003) where production was estimated directly. For the remaining zooplankton groups P/B ratios were derived from Stockwell and Johannsson (1997) and Q/P ratios were derived from Peters and Downing (1984). P/B ratios for Macrophytes, Epiphytes, and Periphytes were estimated from Leisti (2012 in press). Bulk phytoplankton production was measured directly using methods described by Millard et al. (1996) which served as input for P/B ratio estimates and these values were also compared to size-fractionated primary production reported by Munawar et al. (2010). Direct estimates for detritus (pelagic and sedimented) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were made.

## Modified Model

The Koops et al. (2006) model was modified to allow evaluation of consistency among seven RAP targets. Specifically, some benthic groups were sub-divided to separate pollution sensitive and pollution tolerant species. Oligochaetes and chironomids were separated (originally a single group) and amphipods and isopods were separated (also originally a single group). Thus the model was modified from six benthic invertebrates groups to nine benthic groups.

Since the RAP target for phytoplankton referenced nuisance and eutrophic indicator species, the original single phytoplankton group was split into five groups. This was based on samples from composite midwater column tows which had been enumerated to either species or genus levels in the laboratory using an inverted microscope at 600X or higher total magnification (see Nicholls et al. 2002 for details). These samples were grouped into five categories based on the U.S. EPA planktonic state indicators: 1) inedible, 2) microcystis, 3) anabena, 4) aphanixomenon, and 5) remaining edible (U.S. EPA).

Table 1. Input parameters for the Bay of Quinte Ecopath model. * groups that differ from the model developed by Koops et al. (2006).

| Group name | Biomass <br> $\left(\mathbf{t} / \mathbf{k m}^{2}\right)$ | P/B | Q/B <br> $(/$ year $)$ | Unass. | Detr.import <br> $\left(\mathbf{t} / \mathbf{k m}^{2} /\right.$ year $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cormorants | 0.0059 | 0.44 | 96.30 | 0.2 |  |


| Piscivores | 0.2 | 0.41 | 4.90 | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye (5+) | 1.5 | 0.31 | 4.03 | 0.2 |
| Walleye (4) | 0.332 | 0.52 | 5.16 | 0.2 |
| Walleye (3) | 0.321 | 0.42 | 5.98 | 0.2 |
| Walleye (2) | 0.279 | 0.86 | 7.59 | 0.2 |
| Walleye (1) | 0.238 | 1.12 | 11.34 | 0.2 |
| Walleye YOY | 0.144 | 5.90 | 33.60 | 0.2 |
| Smallmouth Bass | 0.06 | 0.35 | 4.07 | 0.2 |
| Alewife | 0.65 | 1.20 | 6.75 | 0.2 |
| Yellow Perch (1) | 3.2 | 0.58 | 3.85 | 0.2 |
| Yellow Perch YOY | 0.464 | 7.00 | 19.29 | 0.2 |
| White Perch (1+) | 1.9 | 0.56 | 4.24 | 0.2 |
| White Perch YOY | 0.386 | 8.00 | 25.61 | 0.2 |
| Panfish (1+) | 1.2 | 0.44 | 3.73 | 0.2 |
| Panfish YOY | 0.476 | 8.91 | 25.84 | 0.2 |
| Invertivores | 3.3 | 0.35 | 2.87 | 0.2 |
| Planktivores | 0.12 | 1.65 | 7.76 | 0.2 |
| Trout-perch | 0.04 | 1.53 | 7.42 | 0.2 |
| Freshwater Drum | 0.51 | 0.25 | 4.08 | 0.2 |
| Round Goby | 0.025 | 1.51 | 5.16 | 0.2 |
| Common Carp | 0.33 | 0.11 | 3.45 | 0.2 |
| Gizzard Shad | 0.8 | 1.12 | 4.88 | 0.2 |
| Other Benthos (no insects) | 0.154 | 4.96 | 25.80 | 0.2 |
| Insects | 2.046 | 5.22 | 34.47 | 0.2 |
| Oligochaetes* | 2.715 | 10.21 | 63.88 | 0.2 |
| Chironomids* | 4.869 | 14.31 | 55.72 | 0.2 |


| Amphiopods* | 1.904 | 4.42 | 26.40 | 0.2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isopods* | 0.782 | 5.18 | 36.33 | 0.2 |  |
| Gastropods | 0.913 | 3.32 | 13.42 | 0.6 |  |
| Bivalves | 0.27 | 4.05 | 23.40 | 0.6 |  |
| Dreissinids | 225 | 1.33 | 6.83 | 0.6 |  |
| Cercopagis | 0.013 | 26.52 | 217.04 | 0.2 |  |
| Predatory Cladocerans | 0.027 | 39.73 | 91.66 | 0.2 |  |
| Copepods | 0.85 | 46.67 | 125.40 | 0.4 |  |
| Rotifers | 0.132 | 53.91 | 245.77 | 0.6 |  |
| Herbivorous Zooplankton | 3.68 | 51.98 | 189.07 | 0.6 |  |
| Macrophytes | 80.89 | 7.61 | 96.30 |  |  |
| Epiphytes | 6.26 | 93.16 |  |  |  |
| Periphytes | 2.1 | 20.22 |  |  |  |
| inedible* | 0.15 | 219.99 |  |  |  |
| microcystis* | 1.20 | 370.28 |  |  |  |
| anabena* | 1.25 | 226.23 |  |  |  |
| aphanixomenon* | 0.35 | 245.38 |  |  |  |
| remaining edible* | 7.01 | 257.17 |  |  |  |
| Pelagic Detritus | 22.67 |  |  |  | 1461 |
| Sedimented Detritus | 6.8 |  |  |  | 438 |
| DOC | 21.45 |  |  |  | 455 |

The diet matrix for the Bay of Quinte, Lake Ontario Ecopath model is presented below where the diet proportions are shown for each species. Age classes for some of the fishes are indicated in parentheses. Phytoplankton is broken down into five groups: 1) inedible, 2) microcystis, 3) anabena, 4) aphanixomenon, and 5) remaining edible. Diets for cormorants were based on Wesloh and Casselman (1992). The diets for fish were based on information from FishBase (http://www.fishbase.org/search.php) and Scott and Crossman (1998). For benthic invertebrates, the diets were based on several sources: 1) other benthos (Nilson, 1974), 2) insects ( McCullogh et al., 1979; Lindegaard, 1994; Gutierrez and Yurrita, 2001), 3) oligochaetes and chironomids (Lindegaard, 1992), 4) amphiopods and isopods (Nilson, 1974), 5) gastropods and bivalves (Lindegaard 1992), and 6) dreissinids (Hamburger et al., 1990). For zooplankton, were also based on several sources: 1) cercopagis (Pichlová-Ptáčníková and Vanderploeg, 2009), 2) predatory cladocerans (Yurista and Schulz, 1995), 3) copepods (Sprules, 1984), 4) rotifers, and 5) herbivorous zooplankton (Sprules, 1984).

| Predator -group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prey-group | Cormorants | Piscivores | Walleye $(5+)$ | Walleye (4) | Walleye (3) | Walleye (2) | Walleye (1) | Walleye YOY YOY |
| Cormorants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Piscivores | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.00099 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.001 |
| Walleye (2) | 0.027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walleye (1) | 0.108 | 0.012 | 0.00099 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walleye YOY |  | 0.012 | 0.00099 | 0.028 | 0.025 | 0.019 | 0.038 | 0.035 |
| Smallmouth Bass | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.0005 | 0.001 | 0.00099 | 0.00095 | 0.00091 | 0.00097 |
| Alewife | 0.007 | 0.058 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.011 |
| Yellow Perch (1) | 0.462 | 0.208 | 0.00099 | 0.233 | 0.22 | 0.211 |  |  |
| Yellow Perch YOY |  | 0.117 | 0.00099 | 0.15 | 0.246 | 0.205 | 0.375 | 0.1 |
| White Perch (1+) | 0.009 | 0.058 | 0.00099 | 0.15 | 0.119 | 0.103 |  |  |
| White Perch (1+) |  | 0.058 | 0.00099 | 0.15 | 0.119 | 0.205 | 0.335 | 0.1 |
| Panfish (1+) | 0.308 | 0.156 | 0.00099 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.011 |
| Panfish YOY |  | 0.081 | 0.00099 |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |
| Invertivores | 0.009 | 0.058 | 0.00099 |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |
| Planktivores | 0.02 | 0.012 | 0.00099 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.011 |
| Trout-perch |  | 0.011 |  | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |
| Freshwater Drum | 0.016 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Round Goby | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000099 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |


| Common Carp |  | 0.012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gizzard Shad | 0.02 | 0.058 | 0.01 | 0.091 | 0.075 | 0.063 | 0.059 | 0.057 |
| Other Benthods <br> (no insects) |  | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.004 |
| $\quad$ Insects |  | 0.054 | 0.046 | 0.085 | 0.071 | 0.074 | 0.072 | 0.053 |
| Oligochaetes |  | 0.007 | 0.022 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.021 | 0.041 |  |
| Chironomids |  | 0.012 | 0.039 | 0.048 | 0.049 | 0.038 | 0.073 |  |
| Amphiopods |  |  |  |  |  | 0.038 |  |  |
| $\quad$ Isopods |  |  |  |  |  | 0.016 |  |  |
| Predatory <br> Cladocerans <br> Copepods <br> Rotifers |  |  |  |  |  | 0.054 |  |  |
| Herbivorous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zooplankton |  |  |  |  |  | 0.171 |  |  |


| Predator -group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prey-group | Smallmouth Bass | Alewife | Yellow Perch (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { YOY } \\ & \text { Yellow } \\ & \text { Perch } \end{aligned}$ | White Perch (1+) | White Perch YOY | Panfish $(1+)$ | Panfish YOY |
| Walleye YOY | 0.011 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.005 |  | 0.003 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smallmouth } \\ & \text { Bass } \end{aligned}$ | 0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alewife | 0.05 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Perch (1) | 0.101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Perch YOY | 0.164 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.003 |  |
| White Perch $(1+)$ | 0.055 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| White Perch YOY | 0.055 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.003 |  |
| Panfish (1+) | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |



| Predator -group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prey-group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Panfish } \\ & (1+) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Panfish } \\ & \text { YOY } \end{aligned}$ | Invertivores | Planktivores | Trout-perch | Freshwater Drum | Round Goby | Common Carp | Gizzard Shad |
| Walleye (1) | 0.003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth Bass |  |  | 0.011 |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| Yellow Perch (1) | 0.003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White Perch (1+) | 0.003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predator -group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prey-group | Other <br> Benthods (no insects) | Insects | Oligochaetes | Chironomids | Amphiopods | Isopods | Gastropods | Bivalves | Dreissinids |
| Other Benthods (no insects) | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insects | 0.398 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaetes | 0.165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chironomids | 0.296 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amphiopods | 0.079 |  |  |  | 0.0275 | 0.0275 | 0.037 |  |  |
| Isopods | 0.032 |  |  |  | 0.0275 | 0.0275 | 0.015 |  |  |
| Macrophytes |  |  |  |  | 0.308 | 0.308 | 0.19 |  |  |
| Epiphytes |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.284 |  |  |
| Periphytes |  |  |  |  | 0.208 | 0.208 | 0.284 |  |  |
| microcystis | 0.000041 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  |  |  | 0.023 | 0.027 |
| anabena | 0.000046 | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.078 |  |  |  | 0.026 | 0.031 |
| aphanixomenon | 0.0000085 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.014 |  |  |  | 0.005 | 0.006 |
| remaining edible | 0.00049 | 0.838 | 0.838 | 0.838 |  |  |  | 0.279 | 0.33 |
| Pelagic Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.346 |
| Sedimented Detritus |  |  |  |  | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.19 | 0.573 |  |
| DOC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.093 | 0.26 |


| Predator -group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prey-group | Cercopagis | Predatory Cladocerans | Copepods | Rotifers | Herbivorous Zooplankton |
| Copepods | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.14 |  |  |
| Rotifers |  |  | 0.047 |  |  |
| Herbivorous | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.279 |  |  |


| Zooplankton |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| microcystis | 0.037 | 0.031 | 0.033 |
| anabena | 0.042 | 0.035 | 0.037 |
| aphanixomenon | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.007 |
| remaining edible | 0.448 | 0.372 | 0.397 |
| Pelagic Detritus |  | 0.556 | 0.526 |
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